

Supreme Court, U. S.

~~D~~ L E D

JUL 5 1977

MICHAEL RODAK, JR., CLERK

In the Supreme Court
OF THE
United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1976

No. 76-1817

STOCKTON DOOR CO., INC.,
Appellant,

vs.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,
Appellee.

SUPPLEMENT TO JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

JAMES C. VAN DYKE,
VAN DYKE & SHAW,

333 N. San Joaquin Street,
Stockton, California 95202,

JAMES B. ATKINSON,

2755 W. Euclid Avenue,
Stockton, California 95204,
Telephone: (209) 466-0293,

Attorneys for Appellant
Stockton Door Co., Inc.

In the Supreme Court
OF THE
United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1976

No. 76-1817

STOCKTON DOOR CO., INC.,
Appellant,

vs.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,
Appellee.

SUPPLEMENT TO JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

United States Court of Appeals
for the Ninth Circuit

—
No. 75-3181
—

National Labor Relations Board,
Petitioner,

vs.

Stockton Door Co., Inc.,
Respondent.

—
[Filed December 23, 1976]

OPINION

Before: ELY and TRASK, Circuit Judges, and ORRICK,*
District Judge.

PER CURIAM:

The petitioning Board, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 160(e), has applied for enforcement of its order issued against the Respondent. The Board's Decision and Order is reported at 218 NLRB No. 156.

Reviewing the record as a whole, we find substantial evidence supporting the Board's finding that the Respondent violated section 8(a)(1), (2), (3), and (5) of the Act. The violations by Respondent were (1) its withdrawal of its recognition of the Carpenters Union and refusal to bargain with that Union, (2) its unilateral change of the terms and conditions

*Honorable William H. Orrick, Jr., United States District Judge, San Francisco, California, sitting by designation.

of employment, and (3) its recognizing and entering into a collective bargaining agreement with the Teamsters Union when the Teamsters did not represent a majority of the Respondent's employees.

ENFORCED.